We will present the traffic safety of intersection Zagrebška cesta and Poljska ulica in Maribor. The presentation contains the complete analysis of traffic safety and possible solutions.

Problem: We decided for this intersection because there is a lot of heavy traffic and they have a problem to cross the road. There is also a problem with visibility.
Current situation

- Intersection has horizontal traffic signs management and vertical traffic signs management.
- Four way intersection with no traffic lights.
- The main traffic direction is Zagrebška cesta.
- Secondary traffic directions are Poljska ulica and Zagrebška cesta.
Current situation
Traffic density and traffic count

- Traffic count has been carried out on 22. March 2016.
- Weather: sunny
- Peak hour: 6.30 – 7.30
Definition of traffic collision:

Vehicle collision occurs when a vehicle collides with another vehicle, pedestrian, animal, road debris, or other stationary obstacle, such as a tree. Traffic collisions may result in injury, death and property damage.
Traffic accidents by classification and type

Number of road accidents by classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Death</th>
<th>Injury</th>
<th>Property Damage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of road accidents by type

Participants in a traffic accidents

Total number of participants in accidents is 114.
Traffic accidents in relation with traffic density

- 65% of traffic accidents happened at normal traffic flow.
- 22% of traffic accidents happened in guest flow.
- 13% of traffic accidents happened at rare traffic flow.

Number of traffic accidents in relation with density

- Normal flow
- Rare flow
- Guest flow
Traffic accidents in relation with the state of carriageway

- 66% of traffic accidents happened on the wet carriageway
- 34% of traffic accidents happened on dry carriageway
Traffic accidents depending by cause

- 81% of all traffic accidents happened because ignoring the right of way
- 4 traffic accidents happened because of tailgating
Visibility on intersection
Visibility on intersection
Condition of the road

- patches
- potholes
- crakes
- ravelling
Cross sections of the road

Cross section of Poljska ulica

Lookout point: middle of intersection
Cross sections of the road

Cross section of Zagrebška cesta – direction Ploj
Zagrebška cesta – Kavčičeva ulica (south east)
Zagrebška cesta – Nasipna ulica (northwest)

Lookout point: middle of intersection
Measures for improving traffic safety

First proposed solution: Reconstruction of intersection into intersection with traffic lights

Sketch for the implementation of intersection with traffic lights

The costs for implement this solution are approximate 90,000€ + 20,000€ = 110,000€ (resurfacing costs are 20,000€)

Steering system for the traffic lights of motorized vehicles and pedestrian:
Second proposed solution: Reconstruction of the intersection into roundabout

- The selected intersection would be the most appropriate as single band roundabout.
- The costs for implement this solution are approximately 260,000€ (with purchase of plots are costs: 260,000 + 42,000€ = 302,000€)
Purchase of lands for the construction of the roundabout
Third proposed solution: Preserve the existing situation of intersection but add a few new soft measures

- The most important measure would be to resurface the rough road.
- Reducing the speed to 30 km / h.
- To improve road safety in the selected intersection, we need to improve road visibility.
Third proposed solution: Preserve the existing situation of intersection but add a few new soft measures

The costs for implementing this solution are approximately 21,000 €. (costs for 600 meters of resurfacing are 20,000 €)
### Presentations of goals and indicators:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>Weights</th>
<th>Indicator (I)</th>
<th>Weights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic effects</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Traffic safety</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic load</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cyclists and pedestrians</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic usefulness of intersection</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic impact</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>Costs of implementation</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental effects</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Emissions</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The use of space</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Standardization of indicators:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I</th>
<th>Weights</th>
<th>Standardized values</th>
<th>The contribution indicator</th>
<th>Solution 1</th>
<th>Solution 2</th>
<th>Solution 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Standardized values</td>
<td>The contribution indicator</td>
<td>Standardized values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I3</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strategy and timeline

- Talk with professors/university and get in touch with Municipality.
- Get in touch with possible partners and with Slovenian Traffic Safety Agency.
- Ask for support from local community.
- Get newest information from police department.
Evaluation

- Measure speed (before, 3 months after implementation)
- Analysis of the data before and after the measures (police data,...)
- Visibility check (visibility berm)
Dificulties

- Get in touch with municipality
- Low municipal budget
- For second solution could be difficult to purchase plots.
- To get in touch with possible partners.
To conclude, three different solutions were presented in this project presentation.

With multi-criteria analysis, it was found that the preferred solution is the intersection with traffic lights. Traffic lights are suitable in terms of space and cost.

If the municipality decided to build a roundabout, they would have to purchase the surrounding land, which would make the investment more expensive.

Compared to solution 3, where the existing situation is arranged with soft measures, the intersection with traffic lights would be a long-lasting solution.
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