esday 24 August 2016

Email: letters.editor@ft.com or Fax: +44 (0) 20 7979 7790 Include daytime telephone number and full address Corrections: corrections@ft.com

## Self-driving cars need independent checks Sir, Carmakers may disagree over the

future of driverless technologies, but recort collisions raise serious and urgent questions for regulators and the public at large (Driverless tech arrives at fork in the road", August 19). In the case of the fatal Plorida crash involving Teals's Autopilot system, we know the car was exceeding the speed limit. It's not clear why. An important principle must be that driver assistance systems do not assist in breaking the law. Teals talls drivers its software is in

"beta", But letting the public take a chance on a potentially lethal technology is not the way we regulate medicines; the same must be true for cars, which can also kill other people. The vehicle was also driving on a road where vehicles cross the highway. An automated car should know what features lie ahead. If it doesn't, control should be given back to the driver.

given back to the driver.
Independent checks, or "driving
tests" for automated systems and selfdriving vehicles must be a pre-requisite
for their use. Technologies should be
approved for use on a step-by stepbasis, starting with the safest
environments such as motorways. If
that means a strictly limited set of

that means a strictly limited set or scenarios for now, so be it. Current regulatory systems were set up to check that occupant protection, minimum standard, not to verify whether millions of lines of computer code will effectively protect road users from automated cars. There is too much reliance on the manufacturery own test data. The Volkswagen emissions scandal has highlighted the need for independent EU oversight—

need for independent LO oversight automated cars make this ever more urgent. "Bugs" that can cause a serious crash will have to be fixed urgently, But with millions of combinations of vehicles, components and software versions, the job of ensuring cars remain safe will be complex. Safety recalls in Europe are mostly voluntary, ie owners are encouraged, not obliged, to get them fixed. Do we want unpatched self-

fixed. Do we want unpatched selfdriving whelse on our roads?

With the potential to eradicate speeding, drink-driving, distraction and fatigue, the safety potential of self-driving cars is undernable. But the Teals case shows there are also new risks, to rush in risks, putting the public off allogether. If a self-driving cars is understood to the control of the public off allogether. If a self-driving care killed a large number of people in the control of the public off allogether. If a self-driving care killed a large number of people in the public overgrands and step-large through the public off allogether. In a self-driving care the public overgrands are the public overgrands and step-large through the public of the public overgrands. The public overgrands are the public overgrands and step-large through the public overgrands are the public overgrands and the public overgrands are the public overgrands are the public overgrands and the public overgrands are the public overgrands are the public overgrands and the public overgrands are the public overgrands are the public overgrands are the public overgrands and the public overgrands are the

European Transport Safety Council, Brussels, Belgium